Case 1:19-cv-03777-CM-BCM Document 67 Filed 01/21/21 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TED AMLEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELEÇTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 1/21/2021

19-CV-3777 (CM) (BCM)

**ORDER** 

## BARBARA MOSES, United States Magistrate Judge.

Defendant has moved for an order compelling non-party Dr. Margaret Mei, one of plaintiff's treating physicians, to comply with a subpoena *duces tecum* first served on her on September 23, 2020 (Dkt. Nos. 61-63), seeking plaintiff's medical records. By Order dated January 6, 2021 (Dkt. No. 65), the Court instructed defendant to serve its motion papers, along with the January 6 Order, on Dr. Mei, and file proof of service of the same. *Id.* The January 6 Order instructed Dr. Mei that her deadline to oppose the motion to compel was three (3) business days after service was effected on her. *Id.* 

On January 19, 2021, defendant filed an affidavit of service attesting that the January 6 Order and defendants' motion papers were served on Dr. Mei on January 11, 2021. (Dkt. No. 66.) Accordingly, Dr. Mei's opposition was due on January 14, 2021. Dr. Mei has failed to respond to the motion. Further, having reviewed the subpoena – as well as the accompanying HIPAA authorization, signed by plaintiff – the Court finds that the documents described on Schedule A thereto are within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2) and, to the extent dated on or after January 1, 2016, should have been produced.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that defendant's motion (Dkt. No. 61) to compel Dr. Mei to comply with its subpoena is GRANTED. Defendant shall promptly serve this Order on Dr. Mei and file proof of service of the same. Dr. Mei shall produce the documents sought by

defendant's subpoena, to the extent dated on or after January 1, 2016, within two weeks (14 days) of the date on which she is served with a copy of this Order. Failure to comply with this Order may result in a significant sanctions. Among other things, the Court "may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or any order related to it." Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(g).

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to close the motion at Dkt. No. 61.

Dated: New York, New York January 21, 2021

SO ORDERED.

**BARBARA MOSES** 

**United States Magistrate Judge**